Defining Wall Thickness Acceptance Levels for Your In-Service Piping

OSHA's Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals Standard (29 CFR 1910.119)(j)(5) requires "The employer shall correct deficiencies in equipment that are outside acceptable limits (defined by the process safety information on paragraph (d) of this section) before further use or in a safe and timely manner when necessary means are taken to assure safe operation."

EPA's Risk Management Plan Rule (40 CFR 68, defined by Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act Amendments) has adopted the OSHA PSM standard as its prevention program for processes in Program [Level] 3.

The piping in your system covers a large footprint of the equipment that is susceptible to 'deficiency'. Implementing a baseline for your organization that defines specific action at different severity levels of piping deterioration, and what those levels are, is a necessary inclusion for operating within the parameters of PSM and RMP requirements.

Corrosion Under Insulation

The damage mechanism that thins pipe wall in ammonia refrigeration systems is Corrosion Under Insulation (CUI). Water or condensation breaches the vapor barrier and rests in the insulation against the surface of the pipe metal. Piping with moisture in the insulation becomes corroded and eats away the metal on the pipe surface, thinning the pipe wall.

The rate at which corrosion thins pipe wall in an ammonia refrigeration system is not calculable.

Variables such as pressure, velocity, acidity and the amount of moisture (and its rate of expansion) are not controlled. 'Corrosion rates' are only valid for erosion/corrosion occurring internally in piping systems; ammonia is not a corrosive chemical to carbon steel or stainless steel piping. Therefore, piping used in closed-circuit ammonia refrigeration systems is generally not susceptible to internal corrosion, and the application of corrosion rates are invalid. Thresholds and decisions to take action to maintain or replace piping must be based on current pipe wall thickness values and not anticipated rates of deterioration.



'Percentage Loss' versus 'Percentage Remaining'

Pitting corrosion is the most common form of corrosion found in refrigeration systems. Pitting is usually a slow process causing isolated, scattered pits over an area. Left unaddressed, pitting corrosion will continue to deteriorate the pipe wall and could result in a leak.

Pitting can be measured. However, measuring the pit does not provide accurate wall thickness or loss measurements due to variables such as pipe age and allowable manufacturing tolerances.

Wall loss and percentage of wall loss values, an estimation that is made against nominal values (not allowable pipe wall thickness values) do not provide an accurate measurement of remaining pipe wall thickness. ASTM specifications for seamless pipe manufacturing standards permit a 12.5% under-tolerance on new pipe wall thickness.

As an example, a Schedule 40, 3-inch pipe nozzle has a nominal wall thickness of 0.216 inch, so the actual wall

thickness on a nozzle or pipe shell would be acceptable down to 0.189 inch straight from the mill.

Further, prior to 1990 - 2000, pipe manufacturing was not as efficient, and methods built-in a margin of error to meet the allowable tolerance limits. This resulted in piping that regularly measures 20-30% thicker than normal.

If your system or parts of your system were installed before 2000, you have piping with a wall thickness significantly thicker than nominal values.

If a section of pipe manufactured before 2000 was reported with a 50% measured 'loss' (depth of pit versus nominal), your piping wall thickness could actually be at 80% of nominal, not 50%. This method of reporting will result in unnecessary replacements and inaccurate pipe wall thickness values. Replacements will result in the installation of newly manufactured piping with wall thickness values less than that of the in-service pitted piping that was replaced.

The value that should be the focus of determining the fitness of your piping, as well as the value your thresholds are defined by – must be the **remaining pipe wall thickness**.

Necessary Fitness Data

The baseline for determining acceptable pipe wall thickness values are pipe size and schedule, and standard pressure values. While pipe wall thickness measurements are key, if the pipe size and schedule are incorrect, the acceptance criteria is void.

It is strongly recommended that the testing method you employ also measures to confirm the size and schedule of your piping, rather than relying solely on your P&IDs or assumptions.

Non-destructive testing should be applied on insulated and uninsulated piping in areas where CUI and damage is likely to occur, as well as areas identified as suspect as a result of a Mechanical Integrity inspection.

The testing method you choose (or combination of methods) should be able to provide you with the following data to appropriately determine if the piping meets your tolerance criteria:

- 1. Pipe wall thickness measurement
- 2. Pipe size and schedule

Presence of corrosion and locations with concealed wet insulation attribute to maintenance and replacement decisionmaking to arrest the thinning of affected pipe in your system. However, these conditions do not play a role in determining if the pipe is acceptable to remain in service.

Areas where pipe wall thickness measurements in your system are reported as less than nominal, or are at your defined thresholds, can then be appropriately categorized by severity.

Minimum Requirements

ANSI/IIAR Standard 6-2019 Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Closed-Circuit Ammonia Refrigeration Systems offers an informative (suggested but not binding) consideration to define acceptable limits for pipe wall thickness to meet PSM and RMP requirements.

Table A.11.1.1.3.1 of the Standard provides minimum remaining pipe wall thickness values to determine repair or replacement conditions of carbon steel piping. The values offered by the table in the standard are the very lowest possible tolerance levels before running to failure. For this reason, the Standard also states in referencing the table:

"Owners typically use or are encouraged to use more conservative "Alert Thickness" and "Replacement Thickness" values,"

recommending that owners take a more stringent approach in developing pipe wall thickness operating thresholds for their systems.

The Conservative Approach

For companies that intend to implement a more stringent level of acceptance criteria, as recommended by IIAR and applied by corporations that maintain best practices, the following table, *In-Service Pipe Wall Thickness Thresholds for Ammonia Refrigeration Piping Systems*, includes the same metrics as the ANSI/IIAR 6 Standard Table A.11.1.3.1 with recommended, more prudent threshold values that are generally acceptable for maintaining a sound system.

If you are looking to develop thresholds that are more conservative than the IIAR's minimum value table, but less cautious than the stringent approach, email **service@ inspectpipe.com** for the template to adjust remaining wall thickness percentages values.

In-Service Pipe Wall Thickness Thresholds

for Ammonia Refrigeration Piping Systems

Piping Sizes, Schedules, and Thicknesses (Carbon Steel Only) - All measurements are in inches

Pipe Size (OD)	Pipe Schedule	Nominal Thickness	Mill Tolerance Thickness	Alert Thickness 30% loss	Remaining % from Nominal	Arrest Thickness 40% loss	Remaining % from Nominal	Replacement Thickness 50% loss	Remaining % from Nominal
0.5 (0.840)	80	0.147	0.129	0.103	70%	0.089	60%	0.074	50%
0.75 (1.050)	80	0.154	0.135	0.108	70%	0.093	60%	0.077	50%
1 (1.315)	80	0.179	0.157	0.126	70%	0.108	60%	0.090	50%
1.25 (1.660)	80	0.191	0.167	0.134	70%	0.115	60%	0.096	50%
1.5 (1.900)	80	0.200	0.175	0.140	70%	0.120	60%	0.100	50%
2 (2.375)	80	0.218	0.191	0.153	70%	0.131	60%	0.109	50%
	40	0.154	0.135	0.108	70%	0.093	60%	0.077	50%
2.5 (2.875)	40	0.203	0.178	0.143	70%	0.122	60%	0.102	50%
3 (3.500)	40	0.216	0.189	0.152	70%	0.130	60%	0.108	50%
3.5 (4.000)	40	0.226	0.198	0.159	70%	0.136	60%	0.113	50%
4 (4.500)	40	0.237	0.207	0.166	70%	0.143	60%	0.119	50%
5 (5.563)	40	0.258	0.226	0.181	70%	0.155	60%	0.129	50%
6 (6.625)	40	0.280	0.245	0.196	70%	0.168	60%	0.140	50%
8 (8.625)	40	0.322	0.282	0.226	70%	0.194	60%	0.161	50%
	30	0.277	0.242	0.194	70%	0.167	60%	0.139	50%
	20	0.250	0.219	0.175	70%	0.150	60%	0.125	50%
9 (9.625)	STD	0.342	0.299	0.240	70%	0.206	60%	0.171	50%
10 (10.750)	40	0.365	0.319	0.256	70%	0.219	60%	0.183	50%
	30	0.307	0.269	0.215	70%	0.185	60%	0.154	50%
	20	0.250	0.219	0.175	70%	0.150	60%	0.125	50%
11 (11.75)	STD	0.375	0.328	0.263	70%	0.225	60%	0.188	50%
12 (12.750)	40	0.406	0.355	0.285	70%	0.244	60%	0.203	50%
	30	0.330	0.289	0.231	70%	0.198	60%	0.165	50%
	20	0.250	0.219	0.175	70%	0.150	60%	0.125	50%
14 (14.000)	40	0.375	0.328	0.263	70%	0.225	60%	0.188	50%
	30	0.375	0.328	0.263	70%	0.225	60%	0.188	50%
	20	0.312	0.273	0.219	70%	0.188	60%	0.156	50%
16 (16.000)	40	0.500	0.438	0.350	70%	0.300	60%	0.250	50%
	30	0.375	0.328	0.263	70%	0.225	60%	0.188	50%
	20	0.312	0.273	0.219	70%	0.188	60%	0.156	50%
18 (18.000)	40	0.562	0.492	0.394	70%	0.338	60%	0.281	50%
	30	0.438	0.383	0.307	70%	0.263	60%	0.219	50%
	20	0.312	0.273	0.219	70%	0.188	60%	0.156	50%
20 (20.000)	40	0.594	0.520	0.416	70%	0.357	60%	0.297	50%
	30	0.500	0.438	0.350	70%	0.300	60%	0.250	50%
	20	0.375	0.328	0.263	70%	0.225	60%	0.188	50%
24 (24.000)	40	0.688	0.602	0.482	70%	0.413	60%	0.344	50%
	30	0.562	0.492	0.394	70%	0.338	60%	0.281	50%
	20	0.375	0.328	0.263	70%	0.225	60%	0.188	50%

*Note: These values only apply to the pressure envelope of the pipe. They do not take into account other types of loading which may increase the remaining minimum alert and replacement thickness values.